

Appln. No. 09/308,269  
Response dated March 8, 2004  
Reply to Final Rejection of January 22, 2004

### **REMARKS**

Claims 10-19 remain in this application.

In paragraph 2 of the Final Rejection, the examiner rejected claims 10-19 under the judicially created doctrine of Double Patenting, referring to the appropriate paragraphs of the previous Office action for the specific wording of the rejections.

In response to the Final Rejection, applicants have already filed a terminal disclaimer on March 5, 2003, which overcomes the Double Patenting Rejections. Accordingly, all of the rejections have been overcome, and claims 10-19 should now be allowed.


Accompanying this amendment is an listing on form 1449 of the prior art cited in the corresponding PCT application. The form 1449 is being submitted so that the prior art cited thereon can be printed on the bibliographic page when a patent which issues from this application.

Further, the Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any further necessary fees in connection with this communication to Deposit Account Number 07-2100.

Appln. No. 09/308,269  
Response dated March 8, 2004  
Reply to Final Rejection of January 22, 2004

Entry of this response and allowance of the claims in this application are  
courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald E. Greigg  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 31,517  
Customer No. 02119

Date: March 8, 2004

GREIGG & GREIGG, P.L.L.C.  
1423 Powhatan Street, Suite One  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Tel. (703) 838-5500  
Fax. (703) 838-5554  
REG/SLS/clt/cle

A:\04-03-05, 308269, Amendment Responsive to FR of Jan 22, 04.wpd